UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Richard Shepherd	Case Number: 18-4230MJ
	clude that the following facts are establis by clear and convincing evidence the de the detention of the defendant pending	defendant is a flight risk and require the detention
	 (1) There is probable cause to □ an offense for which a maximun prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 e seq. □ an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 92 □ an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 233 maximum term of imprisonment of to □ an offense involving a minor victin (2) The defendant has not related that no condition or combination 	32b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a en years or more is prescribed.
Alternative Findings		
	(1) There is a serious risk combination of conditions will rea as required.	that the defendant will flee; no condition or sonably assure the appearance of the defendant
	(2) No condition or combinatio of others and the community.	on of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
	(3) There is a serious risk that justice; or threaten, injure, or intin	the defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct midate a prospective witness or juror.

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing (1) X establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: Based on the nature of the alleged offense as set forth in the Complaint and Affidavit of Probable Cause, Defendant's substance use and abuse, and Defendant's mental health issues. In addition, Defendant was recently arrested for Domestic Violence. X(2) I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. \boxtimes The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of a life sentence. XThe defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: XIn addition: Defendant's unstable residence, his substance use and abuse, and his mental health issues.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person

in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 24th day of July, 2018.

Honorable Deborah M. Fine United States Magistrate Judge